

With increasing modernization, the architectural styles for domestic buildings and houses have also changed. This often brings up the debate of whether people should choose old architectural styles or adopt the newer ones. I will be discussing both the views in this essay along with my opinion.

Those who are in support of older designs often argue that older designs are more durable as compared to the newer ones. The focus of these designs were more of durability rather than the aesthetic. For instance, historical buildings such as Taj Mahal and Qutab Minar have been able to survive thousands of years which serves as a proof of their durability and excellence in architecture. In addition to this, older residential architectures care more about the ventilation in a home. This helps us not only to preserve energy but it has also been proven good for health.

On the other hand, proponents of newer architecture believe that it helps in saving a lot more space. This can be beneficial in current times considering the lack of land especially in metropolitan cities. For instance, if everyone starts building houses as per recent architectural styles it can be very beneficial in curbing the

a

problem of deforestation. Furthermore, modern technology gives us the provision of creating artificial ventilation which can solve most of the problems arising from poor ventilation. Creating such ventilation will not help us to not only avoid the need of bigger houses but will also bring down their building costs.

In conclusion, choosing an architectural style depends upon the amount of land available. However, decreasing amounts of land and increasing deforestation, newer building styles seems more beneficial to me.